1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 5 6 7 MK HOUSE CONSULTING, INC., Plaintiff, 8 Case No.: 2:14-cv-00373-RCJ-NJK 9 VS. **ORDER** PEERLESS INDEMNITY INSURANCE CO. 10 et al., 11 Defendants. 12 Plaintiff sued Defendants in state court for breach of contract, insurance bad faith, and 13 violations of Nevada's insurance code. Defendants removed. Defendant Liberty Mutual 14 Holding Co., Inc. ("Liberty Mutual") moved to dismiss, because the insurer in the case was not 15 Liberty Mutual, but its subsidiary company, Defendant Peerless Indemnity Insurance Co. The 16 Court granted a stipulation for an extension of time to respond based upon the parties' 17 representation that they might settle the motion. However, Plaintiff has failed to oppose the 18 motion within the agreed extension. Plaintiff has therefore consented to the grant of the motion. 19 See Local R. 7-2(d). 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 24

CONCLUSION

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 7) is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 16th day of June, 2014.

ROBERT C. JONES United States District Judge